

3. the proposed line on single-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines in the Grand Coulee – Bell corridor;
4. the proposed line on a double-circuit structure with an existing 115-kV line and parallel to two existing BPA lines;
5. the proposed line on double-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines;
6. the proposed line on single-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines and two Avista lines (north);
7. the proposed line on double-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines and two Avista lines (north);
8. the proposed line on single-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines and two Avista lines (south);
9. the proposed line on double-circuit structures parallel to four existing BPA lines and two Avista lines (south); and
10. the proposed line on double-circuit structures parallel to one existing BPA lines and two Avista lines (south).

The physical and electrical characteristics of the corridor configurations that were analyzed are given in Table 2; cross-sections of the corridors are shown in Figure 1. Short sections of the proposed line where transitions between configurations would occur and where the line would enter the substations were not analyzed.

Changes in the electrical phasing of the existing lower-voltage lines occur along the proposed corridor. The phasing scheme in place for the first 12 mi. (19.3 km) west of the Bell Substation was used for calculations. Further west along the corridor the different phasing schemes would produce very similar electric and magnetic fields and corona effects.

3.0 Electric Field

3.1 Basic Concepts

An electric field is said to exist in a region of space if an electrical charge, at rest in that space, experiences a force of electrical origin (i.e., electric fields cause free charges to move). Electric field is a vector quantity: that is, it has both magnitude and direction. The direction corresponds to the direction that a positive charge would move in the field. Sources of electric fields are unbalanced electrical charges (positive or negative) and time-varying magnetic fields. Transmission lines, distribution lines, house wiring, and appliances generate electric fields in their vicinity because of unbalanced electrical charge on energized conductors. The unbalanced charge is associated with the voltage on the energized system. On the power system in North America, the voltage and charge on the energized conductors are cyclic (plus to minus to plus) at a rate of 60 times per second. This changing voltage results in electric fields near sources that are also time-varying at a frequency of 60 hertz (Hz; a frequency unit equivalent to cycles per second).

As noted earlier, electric fields are expressed in units of volts per meter (V/m) or kilovolts (thousands of volts) per meter (kV/m). Electric- and magnetic-field magnitudes in this report are expressed in root-mean-square (rms) units. For sinusoidal waves, the rms amplitude is given as the peak amplitude divided by the square root of two.

The spatial uniformity of an electric field depends on the source of the field and the distance from that source. On the ground, under a transmission line, the electric field is nearly constant in magnitude and direction over distances of several feet (1 meter). However, close to transmission- or distribution-line conductors, the field decreases rapidly with distance from the conductors. Similarly, near small sources such as appliances, the field is not uniform and falls off even more rapidly with distance from the device. If an energized conductor (source) is inside a grounded conducting enclosure, then the electric field outside the enclosure is zero, and the source is said to be shielded.

Electric fields interact with the charges in all matter, including living systems. When a conducting object, such as a vehicle or person, is located in a time-varying electric field near a transmission line, the external electric field exerts forces on the charges in the object, and electric fields and currents are induced in the object. If the object is grounded, then the total current induced in the body (the "short-circuit current") flows to earth. The distribution of the currents within, say, the human body, depends on the electrical conductivities of various parts of the body: for example, muscle and blood have higher conductivity than bone and would therefore experience higher currents.

At the boundary surface between air and the conducting object, the field both in the air and perpendicular to the conductor surface is much, much larger than the field in the conductor itself. For example, the average surface field on a human standing in a 10 kV/m field is 27 kV/m; the internal fields in the body are much smaller: approximately 0.008 V/m in the torso and 0.45 V/m in the ankles.

3.2 Transmission-line Electric Fields

The electric field created by a high-voltage transmission line extends from the energized conductors to other conducting objects such as the ground, towers, vegetation, buildings, vehicles, and people. The calculated strength of the electric field at a height of 3.28 ft. (1 m) above an unvegetated, flat earth is frequently used to describe the electric field under straight, parallel transmission lines. The most important transmission-line parameters that determine the electric field at a 1-m height are conductor height above ground and line voltage.

Calculations of electric fields from transmission lines are performed with computer programs based on well-known physical principles (cf., Deno and Zaffanella, 1982). The calculated values under these conditions represent an ideal situation. When practical conditions approach this ideal model, measurements and calculations agree. Often, however, conditions are far from ideal because of variable terrain and vegetation. In these cases, fields are calculated for ideal conditions, with the lowest conductor clearances to provide upper bounds on the electric field under the transmission lines. With the use of more complex models or empirical results, it is also possible to account accurately for variations in conductor height, topography, and changes in line direction. Because the fields from different sources add vectorially, it is possible to compute the fields from several different lines if the electrical and geometrical properties of the lines are known. However, in general, electric fields near transmission lines with vegetation below are highly complex and cannot be calculated. Measured fields in such situations are highly variable.

For evaluation of EMF from transmission lines, the fields must be calculated for a specific line condition. The NESC states the condition for evaluating electric-field-induced short-circuit current for lines with voltage above 98 kV, line-to-ground, as follows: conductors are at a minimum clearance from ground corresponding to a conductor temperature of 122°F (50°C), and at a maximum voltage (IEEE, 2002). BPA has supplied the needed information for calculating electric and magnetic fields from the proposed transmission lines: the maximum operating voltage, the estimated peak current in 2004, and the minimum conductor clearances.

There are standard techniques for measuring transmission-line electric fields (IEEE, 1987). Provided that the conditions at a measurement site closely approximate those of the ideal situation assumed for calculations, measurements of electric fields agree well with the calculated values. If the ideal conditions are not approximated, the measured field can differ substantially from calculated values. Usually the actual electric field at ground level is reduced from the calculated values by various common objects that act as shields.

Maximum or peak field values occur over a small area at midspan, where conductors are closest to the ground. As the location of an electric-field profile approaches a tower, the conductor clearance increases, and the peak field decreases. A grounded tower will reduce the electric field considerably, by shielding. For the parallel-line configurations considered here, minimum conductor clearances were assumed to occur along the same lateral profile for both lines. This condition will not necessarily occur in practice, because the towers for the parallel lines may be offset or located at different elevations. **The assumption of simultaneous minimum clearance results in peak (worst-case) fields that may be larger than what occur in practice.**

For traditional transmission lines, such as the proposed line, where the right-of-way extends laterally well beyond the conductors, electric fields at the edge of the right-of-way are not as sensitive as the peak field to conductor height. Computed values at the edge of the right-of-way for any line height are fairly representative of what can be expected all along the transmission-line corridor. However, the presence of vegetation on and at the edge of the right-of-way will reduce actual electric-field levels below calculated values. The triangular arrangement of the conductor bundles for the proposed line reduces the electric- and magnetic field levels below what they would be for a flat conductor arrangement.

3.3 Calculated Values of Electric Fields

Table 3 shows the calculated values of electric field at 3.28 ft. (1 m) above ground for the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV transmission-line configurations. The peak value on the right-of-way and the value at the edge of the right-of-way are given for the ten proposed configurations at minimum conductor clearances and at the estimated average clearances over a span. Figure 2 shows lateral profiles for the electric field for both existing and proposed configurations. Electric fields for the minimum and average line heights for the proposed line with no parallel lines (Configuration 2) are shown in Figure 2b.

The calculated peak electric field expected on the right-of-way of the proposed line is 8.9 kV/m or less, depending on the configuration. For average clearance, the peak field would be 6.0 kV/m or less. As shown in Figure 2, the peak values would be present only at locations directly under the 500-kV line, near mid-span, where the conductors are at the minimum clearance. The conditions of minimum conductor clearance at maximum current and maximum voltage occur very infrequently. The calculated peak levels are rarely reached under real-life conditions, because the actual line height is generally above the minimum value used in the computer model, because the actual voltage is below the maximum value used in the model, and because vegetation within and near the edge of the right-of-way tends to shield the field at ground level. Maximum electric fields on the existing corridors are 8.9 kV/m, 3.4 kV/m, and 1.4 kV/m for 500-kV, 230-kV, and 115-kV lines, respectively.

The largest value expected at the edge of the right-of-way of the proposed line would be 2.5 kV/m, which occurs when the proposed line abuts the edge of the right-of-way (Configurations 1 and 2 only). For other configurations where the proposed line is in the center of the corridor, the electric field at the edge of the right-of-way would be about the same with or without the proposed line present (Table 3b).

3.4 Environmental Electric Fields

The electric fields associated with the Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV line can be compared with those found in other environments. Sources of 60-Hz electric (and magnetic) fields exist everywhere electricity is used; levels of these fields in the modern environment vary over a wide range. Electric-field levels associated with the use of electrical energy are orders of magnitude greater than naturally occurring 60-Hz fields of about 0.0001 V/m, which stem from atmospheric and extraterrestrial sources.

Electric fields in outdoor, publicly accessible places range from less than 1 V/m to 12 kV/m; the large fields exist close to high-voltage transmission lines of 500 kV or higher. In remote areas without electrical service, 60-Hz field levels can be much lower than 1 V/m. Electric fields in home and work environments generally are not spatially uniform like those of transmission lines; therefore, care must be taken when making comparisons between fields from different sources such as appliances and electric lines. In addition, fields from all sources can be strongly modified by the presence of conducting objects. However, it is helpful to know the levels of electric fields generated in domestic and office environments in order to compare commonly experienced field levels with those near transmission lines.

Numerous measurements of residential electric fields have been reported for various parts of the United States, Canada, and Europe. Although there have been no large studies of residential electric fields, sufficient data are available to indicate field levels and characteristics. Measurements of domestic 60-Hz electric fields indicate that levels are highly variable and source-dependent. Electric-field levels are not easily predicted because walls and other objects act as shields, because conducting objects perturb the field, and because homes contain numerous localized sources. Internal sources (wiring, fixtures, and appliances) seem to predominate in producing electric fields inside houses. Average measured electric fields in residences are generally in the range of 5 to 20 V/m. In a large occupational exposure monitoring project that included electric-field measurements at homes, average exposures for all groups away from work were generally less than 10 V/m (Bracken, 1990).

Electric fields from household appliances are localized and decrease rapidly with distance from the source. Local electric fields measured at 1 ft. (0.3 m) from small household appliances are typically in the range of 30 to 60 V/m. Stopps and Janischewskyj (1979) reported electric-field measurements near 20 different appliances; at a 1-ft. (0.3-m) distance, fields ranged from 1 to 150 V/m, with a mean of 33 V/m. In another survey, reported by Deno and Zaffanella (1982), field measurements at a 1-ft. (0.3-m) distance from common domestic and workshop sources were found to range from 3 to 70 V/m. The localized fields from appliances are not uniform, and care should be taken in comparing them with transmission-line fields.

Electric blankets can generate higher localized electric fields. Sheppard and Eisenbud (1977) reported fields of 250 V/m at a distance of approximately 1 ft. (0.3 m). Florig et al. (1987) carried out extensive empirical and theoretical analysis of electric-field exposure from electric blankets and presented results in terms of uniform equivalent fields such as those near transmission lines. Depending on what parameter was chosen to represent intensity of exposure and the grounding status of the subject, the equivalent vertical 60-Hz electric-field exposure ranged from 20 to over 3500 V/m. The largest equivalent field corresponds to the measured field on the chest with the blanket-user grounded. The average field on the chest of an ungrounded blanket-user yields an equivalent vertical field of 960 V/m. As manufacturers have become aware of the controversy surrounding EMF exposures, electric blankets have been redesigned to reduce *magnetic* fields. However, electric fields from these “low field” blankets are still comparable with those from older designs (Bassen et al., 1991).

Generally, people in occupations not directly related to high-voltage equipment are exposed to electric fields comparable with those of residential exposures. For example, the average electric field measured in 14 commercial and retail locations in rural Wisconsin and Michigan was 4.8 V/m (ITT Research Institute, 1984). Median electric field was about 3.4 V/m. These values are about one-third the values in residences reported in the same study. Power-frequency electric fields near video display terminals (VTDs) are about 10 V/m, similar to those of other appliances (Harvey, 1983). Electric-field levels in public buildings such as shops, offices, and malls appear to be comparable with levels in residences.

In a survey of 1,882 volunteers from utilities, electric-field exposures were measured for 2,082 work days and 657 non-work days (Bracken, 1990). Electric-field exposures for occupations other than those directly related to high-voltage equipment were equivalent to those for non-work exposure.

Thus, except for the relatively few occupations where high-voltage sources are prevalent, electric fields encountered in the workplace are probably similar to those of residential exposures. Even in electric-utility occupations where high field sources are present, exposures to high fields are limited on average to minutes per day.

Electric fields found in publicly accessible areas near high-voltage transmission lines can typically range up to 3 kV/m for 230-kV lines, to 10 kV/m for 500-kV lines, and to 12 kV/m for 765-kV lines. Although these peak levels are considerably higher than the levels found in other public areas, they are present only in limited areas on rights-of-way.

The calculated electric fields for the proposed Grand Coulee – Bell 500-kV transmission line are consistent with the levels reported for other 500-kV transmission lines in Oregon, Washington, and elsewhere. The electric fields on the right-of-way of the proposed transmission line, as calculated, would be much higher than levels normally encountered in residences and offices.

4.0 Magnetic Field

4.1 Basic Concepts

Magnetic fields can be characterized by the force they exert on a moving charge or on an electrical current. As with the electric field, the magnetic field is a vector quantity characterized by both magnitude and direction. Electrical currents generate magnetic fields. In the case of transmission lines, distribution lines, house wiring, and appliances, the 60-Hz electric current flowing in the conductors generates a time-varying, 60-Hz magnetic field in the vicinity of these sources. The strength of a magnetic field is measured in terms of magnetic lines of force per unit area, or magnetic flux density. The term “magnetic field,” as used here, is synonymous with magnetic flux density and is expressed in units of Gauss (G) or milligauss (mG).

The uniformity of a magnetic field depends on the nature and proximity of the source, just as the uniformity of an electric field does. Transmission-line-generated magnetic fields are quite uniform over horizontal and vertical distances of several feet near the ground. However, for small sources such as appliances, the magnetic field decreases rapidly over distances comparable with the size of the device.

The interaction of a time-varying magnetic field with conducting objects results in induced electric field and currents in the object. A changing magnetic field through an area generates a voltage around any conducting loop enclosing the area (Faraday's law). This is the physical basis for the operation of an electrical transformer. For a time-varying sinusoidal magnetic field, the magnitude of the induced